From: Miller, Garyg

 To:
 Hayter, Earl J ERDC-CHL-MS

 Subject:
 RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: (UNCLASSIFIED)

 Date:
 Thursday, March 05, 2015 11:17:00 AM

Earl,

They expect to have results tomorrow(?) so you'll see it next week.

Gary Miller EPA Remedial Project Manager 214-665-8318 miller.garyg@epa.gov

----Original Message-----

From: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-CHL-MS [mailto:Earl.Hayter@usace.army.mil]

Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2015 11:12 AM

To: Miller, Garyg

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Any word from the USGS guys who performed the ADCP survey two weeks ago?

-----Original Message-----

From: Miller, Garyg [mailto:Miller.Garyg@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2015 11:36 AM To: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE:

Earl,

Thanks for reminding me - I had asked the River Fleet folks, but don't believe I've seen anything yet so I sent reminder to them.

Gary Miller EPA Remedial Project Manager 214-665-8318 miller.garyg@epa.gov

----Original Message-----

From: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS [mailto:Earl.J.Hayter@erdc.dren.mil]

Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2015 1:15 PM

To: Miller, Garyg Subject: RE:

Gary, I keep forgetting to ask you if you have been able to obtain the information listed below regarding ship traffic, etc. Thanks, Earl

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Miller, Garyg [mailto:Miller.Garyg@epa.gov]

> Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 5:14 PM

> To: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS

> Subject: RE:

>

> Earl,

(

```
> Working on getting some info on this & will get back to you.
> Regards,
> Gary Miller
> EPA Remedial Project Manager
> 214-665-8318
> miller.garyg@epa.gov
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS
> [mailto:Earl.J.Hayter@erdc.dren.mil]
> Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 3:33 PM
> To: Miller, Garyg
> Subject: RE:
  Gary,
>
> Something I forgot to talk to you about earlier. Do you have access
> from any of your contacts information on the ship traffic in proximity
> to the cap? Specifically, I will need information on average ship
> power, size, draft, propeller(s) diameter and type (i.e., ducted or
> non-ducted), and average ship speed. I need this information to evaluate propwash.
  Thanks,
>
>
> Earl
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Miller, Garyg [mailto:Miller.Garyg@epa.gov]
> > Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 3:23 PM
> > To: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS
> > Subject: RE:
> >
> > Earl.
> >
> > Here's something else about stream stability (from Federal Highway
> > Administration) -
> > <a href="http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/engineering/hydraulics/pubs/hec/hec11sl.pdf">http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/engineering/hydraulics/pubs/hec/hec11sl.pdf</a>
> >
> > "In addition, current site conditions can be used to evaluate river
> > stability. Even when historic information indicates that a channel
> > has been relatively stable in the past, local conditions may
> > indicate more recent instabilities. Local site conditions which are
> > indicative of channel instabilities include tipping and falling of
> > vegetation along the bank, cracks along the bank surface, the
> > presence of slump blocks, fresh vegetation laying in the channel
> > near the channel banks, deflection of channel flows in the direction
> > of the bank due to some recently deposited obstruction or channel
> course change, fresh vertical face cuts along the bank, locally high
> > velocities along the bank, new bar formation downstream from an
> > eroding bank, local headcuts, pending or recent cutoffs, etc... It
> > is also important to recognize that the presence of any one of these
>> conditions does not in itself indicate an erosion problem; some bank
>> erosion is common in all channels even when the channel is stable. A
> > more detailed coverage of the analysis of stream stability through
>> the use of historic and current observations is presented in Shen (1).
> > Analytic methods for the evaluation of channel stability can be
> > classified as either geomorphic or hydraulic. It is important to
> > recognize that these analytic tools should only be used to
```

```
> > substantiate the erosion potential indicated through observation.
> > Geomorphic relationships have been presented by many investigators,
> > for example Leopold (2), and Lane (3). More recently these
> > relationships have been summarized by Brown (4), and Richardson (5)."
> >
> > Gary Miller
> > EPA Remedial Project Manager
> > 214-665-8318
> > miller.garyg@epa.gov
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS
> > [mailto:Earl.J.Hayter@erdc.dren.mil]
> Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 2:07 PM
> > To: Miller, Garyg
> > Subject: RE:
> >
> > Thanks Gary. Maynord retired a year or two ago.
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Miller, Garyg [mailto:Miller.Garyg@epa.gov]
> > Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 3:06 PM
> > To: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS
> > Subject: RE:
> > >
> > Earl,
> > >
> > > Here is the link -
> > >
> > <a href="http://www.epa.gov/glnpo/sediment/iscmain/appnda.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/glnpo/sediment/iscmain/appnda.pdf</a>
> > Just noticed its written by Steve Maynord @ Vicksburg - perhaps
> > you know him?
> > >
> > Regards,
> > >
> > Sary Miller
> > EPA Remedial Project Manager
> > > 214-665-8318
> > miller.garyg@epa.gov
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Hayter, Earl J ERDC-RDE-EL-MS
> > [mailto:Earl.J.Hayter@erdc.dren.mil]
> > Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 1:48 PM
> > To: Miller, Garyg
> > Subject:
> > >
> > Gary,
> > Which capping guidance report were you referring to during our
> > call earlier today? There are several different 'versions'.
> > >
> > Thanks,
> > >
> > Earl
> > >
> > >
```

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE